City of Minneapolis CPED - Public Land Sale and Acquisition Form

Section I. Property Information PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I. **ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY** PLEASE ATTACH MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY AND ALL ADJACENT PARCELS Submitted by: Earl Pettiford Phone #: 5231 Form Initiated Date: 7/12/2011 Complete by Date: 1. Address: 2129 Emerson Avenue North 2. Property Identification Number (PIN): 16-029-24-13-0063 3. Lot Size: 9,263 SF 4. Current Use: Four unit residential building. Current Zoning: R2B 6. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): Four residential rental units. 7. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: None 8. Project Coordinator comments: This property was acquired to pass through to Urban Homeworks who will renovate this fourplex and then operate it as a rental property. PROJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US Section II. Zoning Review Buildable for **any** structure Lot is Non-Buildable for **any** structure Explain: The lot size exceeds the minimum lot area for a single family home. 10. Will any land use applications be required to achieve the proposed future use noted in item 6? If yes, what applications? The property has lost nonconforming rights to a four family dwelling. At minimum, a rezoning to R3 would be required to reestablish a four unit building. 11. Comments: Completed by: Jake Steen Date: 7/13/2011 ZONING STAFF: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US Section III. Community Planning Review 12. List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel: West Broadway Alive 13. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth designates Emerson as a Community Corridor and the West Broadway Alive plan designates this area as Urban Neighborhood in Development District 1, which would be appropriate for low to medium-density with single-family homes to small (4-16 unit) apartment buildings. 14. Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans? Yes 🖂 If no, why not? 15. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger development? Yes 🗌 No 🖂 If yes, explain possible development scenarios 16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development? Yes 🗍 No 🖂 If Yes, what type of development? Comments: Jim Voll Completed by: Date: 7/18/2011

EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH, BERNARD @CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US

COMMUNITY PLANNER:

City of Minneapolis CPED - Public Land Sale and Acquisition Form

Planning Director Review	by: <u>Barbara Sporlein</u> Date: <u>7/20/2011</u>
PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US	
Multi-Family Housing Staff Comments Comments: Mf concurs	by: Wes Butler Date: 7/22/2011
Single-Family Housing Staff Comments Comments: Single Family supports the disposition s	by: <u>Elfric Porte, II</u> Date: <u>7/22/2011</u> strategy as proposed.
Real Estate Development Services Staff Comments	
Comments: Development scenario appears consist	ent with adopted real estate procedures.
Business Development Staff Comments Comments: Business Development supports the rer	by: Kristin Guild Date: 7/22/2011
Economic Development Director Review	by: Cathy Polasky Date: 7/22/2011
PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:	
HOLD this property for discussion at next available Director's Meeting Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options outlined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or not to proceed can be made Director's Notes (reason for HOLD status):	
·	
Housing Director Review	by: Tom Streitz Date: 7/25/2011

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US

Note: The completed and signed CPED public land form and the Planning Commission action as to the consistency with the City's Comprehensive Plan *must* be attached to the staff report that is submitted to the Community Development Committee.